Noxious Weed Advisory Committee – Meeting – April 22, 2021 – 9:00am-12:00pm (EST)

AGENDA

- Welcome & housekeeping
- Roll call
- Meeting minutes (October, 2020 NWAC Meeting)
- Discussion of presenters for assessment submissions
- House Joint Resolution 527
- Follow-up on previous meetings' action items
 - o Permitting, and
 - o Follow-up on alternative seed mixtures (*Lespedeza cuneata*)
- Review of noxious weed assessments:
 - o Elaeagnus umbellata Autumn olive
 - o Alliaria petiolata Garlic mustard
 - o Commelina communis Asiatic dayflower
 - o Lespedeza bicolor Bicolor lespedeza
 - o Lonicera japnonica Japanese honeysuckle
 - o Lonicera maackii Amur honeysuckle
 - o Paulownia tomentosa Empress tree / princess tree
 - o Reynoutria japonica Japanese knotweed
 - o Securigera varia Crown vetch
 - o Microstegium vimineum Japanese stiltgrass
- Additional action items
- Public participation period (information on Page 2 of the Agenda)

PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Members of the public may listen to the meeting via the Cisco WebEx platform using the link, access code, and password below.

Event address for attendees:

https://covaconf.webex.com/covaconf/onstage/g.php?MTID=efb93b5df97cf4ee4ad897c72d4e8ce05

Event number: 185 278 9169 Event password: hmN68TXUHY4

To join the audio conference only:

Call the number below and enter the access code: 185 278 9169

US Toll (517) 466-2023 US Toll Free (866) 692-4530

If you would like to comment during the public comment portion of the meeting, please sign up to do so using the WebEx Q&A feature. Via the Q&A feature, send the Meeting Host your first and last name as well as the name of the organization, if any, on whose behalf you will be commenting (e.g., Joe Smith with ABC Organization). If you join the meeting using the audio conference only or are unable to locate the WebEx Q&A feature to sign up for the public comment portion of the meeting, please email your first and last name as well as the name of the organization, if any, on whose behalf you will be commenting to joel.maddux@vdacs.virginia.gov. During the public comment portion of the meeting, the Meeting Host will unmute your microphone and announce that it is your turn to comment. Please minimize the background noise at your location.

Should any interruption of the broadcast of this meeting occur, please call 804-786-0240 or email joel.maddux@vdacs.virginia.gov to notify the agency. Any interruption in the broadcast of the meeting shall result in the suspension of action at the meeting until repairs are made and public access is restored.



VIRGINIA FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ADVISORY COUNCIL COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA

ELECTRONIC MEETINGS PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

WE NEED YOUR HELP--Please give us your feedback regarding how meetings using electronic communications technology compare to traditional meetings where everyone is present in the same room at the same time.

1. Na	ame of	f the pu	ıblic bo	dy holo	ding the meeti	ng:
2. Da	ate of	the me	eting:			
3. W	hat ar	e your	overall	thougl	hts or commen	its about this meeting?
4. W	here d	lid you	attend	this m	eeting main	meeting location OR from a remote location? (circle one)
						ly or audio/visual, devices and/or software usedplease erphone, iPad, Skype, WebEx, Telepresence, etc.):
6. W		u able	to hear	everyo	one who spoke	at the meeting (members of the body and members of the
T		r			Excellent 5	
	1	2	3	4	5	
	COI	MMEN	NT			
7. Ho	ow eas	sy was	it for y	ou to o	btain agenda r	materials for this meeting?
	Lasy	y 2	3	4	Difficult 5	
	•		r/unde blems i		-	xers said or did static, interruption, or any other
	Easy	y			Difficult	
	1	2	3	4	5	
		MMEN				
9. If			used au	idio/vi		y, were you able to see all of the people who spoke?
	Poo	•	2	4	Clearly	
	1	2	3	4	5	
	COI	MMEN	VT			

COMMENT 1. Were the members as attentive and did they participate as much as you would have expected Less More 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 2. Were there differences you noticed in how the members interacted? With the other members present: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With members participating from other locations: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT				3	4	_	
1. Were the members as attentive and did they participate as much as you would have expected Less More 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 1. Were there differences you noticed in how the members interacted? With the other members present: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With members participating from other locations: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 1. A 5 COMMENT 1. A 1		COMI			_	5	
Less More 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 2. Were there differences you noticed in how the members interacted? With the other members present: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With members participating from other locations: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5	1. W		MEN'	Γ			
Less More 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 2. Were there differences you noticed in how the members interacted? With the other members present: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With members participating from other locations: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5		ere the	e mem	ıbers a	s atten	tive an	d did they participate as much as you would have expected
COMMENT 2. Were there differences you noticed in how the members interacted? With the other members present: Very Different 1 2 3 4 5 With members participating from other locations: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5		_					
2. Were there differences you noticed in how the members interacted? With the other members present: Very Different 1 2 3 4 5 With members participating from other locations: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5		1	2	3	4	5	
With the other members present: Very Different 1 2 3 4 5 With members participating from other locations: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5		COMI	MEN'	Γ			
Very Different 1 2 3 4 5 With members participating from other locations: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 13. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 1 2 3 4 5							
With members participating from other locations: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 13. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 14. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5					embers	presen	
With members participating from other locations: Very Different 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 13. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 14. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5		Very I					
Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5			_	_	-		-
1 2 3 4 5 With the public: Very Different No Difference 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5					rticipat	ting fro	
With the public: Very Different 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5							
Very Different 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5			_	_	3	4	5
1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5			_				
3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5		Very I	Differe	ent			
3. Did you feel the technology was a help or a hindrance? Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5							-
Hindered Helped 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 4. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5		COMI	MEN'	Γ			
COMMENT 1 2 3 4 5 COMMENT 14. How would you rate the overall quality of this meeting? Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5		•		e tech	nology	was a l	•
COMMENT		Hinde	red				<u>*</u>
Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5			1	2	3	4	5
Poor Excellent 1 2 3 4 5		COM	MEN	Γ			
1 2 3 4 5	4. Ho	w wot	ıld yo	u rate	the ove		
		Poor					ellent
COMMENT		1	2	3	4	5	
		COM	MEN'	Γ			

Council using the following contact information:

Virginia Freedom of Information Advisory Council
General Assembly Building, Second Floor
201 North 9th Street, Richmond, Virginia 23219
foiacouncil@dls.virginia.gov/Fax: 804-371-8705/Tele: 866-448-4100